LII Errata as of 7/30/2024

LII, Vol 1

Page 75 Keywords

Remove: collective investment schemes (CIS)

Page 106 First Paragraph

Russa should be Russia

Page 591 Keywords

Remove: impact investing

LII, Vol 2

LII, Vol 3

Page 12 First paragraph

While the loss carryforward represents a potential cost for replacing a manager that has recently experienced some losses, there are three primary reasons that an investor may still wish to replace a manager with a carryforward loss (assuming that the strategy of the fund is equally as attractive as the strategies of other funds).

Should be:

While the loss carryforward represents a potential cost for replacing a manager that has recently experienced some losses, there are $\frac{1}{100}$ primary reasons that an investor

may still wish to replace a manager with a carryforward loss (assuming that the strategy of the fund is equally as attractive as the strategies of other funds).

Page 31 Keywords

Remove: transition matrix

Page 70 Question #2

2. What are the three fundamental screening questions regarding an investment process?

Should be:

2. What are the three fundamental screening questions regarding an investment program?

Page 71 Question & Answer #2

2. What are the three fundamental screening questions regarding an investment process?

Should be:

2. What are the three fundamental screening questions regarding an investment program?

Page 86 Keywords

Remove: investment process risk

Page 208 Second Paragraph

For example, consider a nondividend-paying stock with a value of \$50 that has a call option and a put option trading with 0.25 years to expiration with the same strike price and tenor. Assuming that N'(d) is 0.20 for both options, the "textbook" vega of both options (based on equation 1) would be $50 \times 0.20 \times \sqrt{0.25}$ or 5.00. The much more common measure of vega would be 0.05, which is the vega per basis point found by dividing the "textbook" vega by 100. each option would rise towards a value increase of 0.05 (i.e., 5.00×0.01) as the option's implied volatility rose towards an increase of $\frac{0.01}{1\%}$ from, say, 0.25 to $\frac{0.26}{26\%}$ (i.e., by $\frac{1\%}{1\%}$ from 25% towards

Should be:

For example, consider a nondividend-paying stock with a value of \$50 that has a call option and a put option trading with 0.25 years to expiration with the same strike price and tenor. Assuming that N'(d) is 0.20 for both options, the "textbook" vega of both options (based on equation 1) would be $50 \times 0.20 \times \sqrt{0.25}$ or 5.00. The much more common measure of vega would be 0.05, which is the vega per basis point found by dividing the "textbook" vega by 100. each option would rise towards a value increase of 0.0001 from, say, 0.25 to 0.2501 (i.e., by 0.01% from 25\% towards 25.01\%).

ALSO, the paragraph beneath Equation 2

Viewing v in Equation 2 as "vega per basis point", for a vega of \$0.30, a change in volatility of 0.02 (e.g., two basis points from 0.20 to 0.22) would cause a call or put to rise in value by approximately \$0.60 (\$0.30 × 2).

Should be:

Viewing v in Equation 2 as "vega per basis point", for a vega of \$0.30, a change in volatility of 0.02 (e.g., two basis points from 0.20% to 0.22%) would cause a call or put to rise in value by approximately \$0.60 (\$0.30 × 2).

Also, Application A

Consider a nondividend-paying stock that has a call option and a put option trading with 0.25 years to expiration and with the same strike price and tenor. The vega per basis point of the call option is \$0.40. Use a first-order approximation to estimate the change in a call option value and a put option value for a decline in volatility from 0.30 to 0.28.

Should be:

Consider a nondividend-paying stock that has a call option and a put option trading with 0.25 years to expiration and with the same strike price and tenor. The vega per basis point of the call option is \$0.40. Use a first-order approximation to estimate the change in a call option value and a put option value for a decline in volatility from 0.30% to 0.28%.

Page 294 Keywords Add: fixed charge coverage ratio