The IPD Global Intel Report



The IPD Global Intel Report

Max Arkey Vice President, Product Management MSCI Real Estate

US COMMERCIAL PROPERTY PERFORMANCE & INCOME RISK Q4 2014

©2015 MSCI Inc. All rights reserved.



US TOTAL RETURNS Q4 2014

MSCI

US INCOME RETURNS Q4 2014

		I	3 MC	NTHS	1	YEAR	5 YEA (annualize		
	UN	LISTED PROPERTY	1.3		5.3		5.9	6.0	
≥	ЛR	Retail	7		22		24	19	
ER .	G	Office	-5	L	-8	D	-4	-1	
DFE	SE	Industrial	12		48		57	50	
PROPERTY	B	Residential	-10		-49		-65	-78	
D P		Washington DC	-12		-27		-40	-38	
ISTED F points)	EA	New York City	-11		-58		-59	-46	
	AR	Los Angeles	-8	0	-31		-18	-21	
INL asis	AN	Chicago	8		26		30	30	
	RB	Boston	-3	•	-26		-36	-50	
(in		San Francisco	-10		-39		-30	-21	
VE	NO	Dallas	9		53		51	52	
ATIVE	MA	Atlanta	9		67		68	45	
RELA	BY	Miami	2		5	1	1	-19 🗖	
RE		Houston	6		31		13	30	1

Sources: MSCI for equities, listed property, unlisted property (IPD US Quarterly Index); JP Morgan (bonds); and US Bureau of Labor Statistics (inflation)

— 87

Alternative Investment Analyst Review • Spring 2015

On the Possible Impact of a Commodity Transaction Tax on India's Commodity Derivatives

US COMMERCIAL PROPERTY PERFORMANCE & RISK Q4 2014

SPOTLIGHT THIS QUARTER HOUSTON

Unlisted real estate in Houston provided investors with an annualized return of 10.4% over the past 10 years (previous page), outperforming other major US cities over the same period as well as other major asset classes. By Q4 2014 Houston's performance had slipped below the IPD US Quarterly Index for unlisted property. This paralleled a slide in oil prices, a commodity closely tied to the city's economy. Houston property owners may be left to wonder, how secure is my investment here, especially my income stream? In this issue, we mine MSCI's IPD Rental Information Service (IRIS) to investigate. ■ US REAL ESTATE INCOME RISK—As of Q4 2014, more than half the US tenant leases tracked by MSCI were set to expire by 2018. Those leases that came due in late 2014 were well positioned for gains, with leases ready to roll to market rates averaging more than 20% above expiring contracts. Expiring leases in New York and the Bay Area are particularly poised for future gains if they renew at current market rates. More than half of contracted rent in the institutional US market falls in the office sector, with investors generally favoring CBD over suburban spaces. Industrial properties are the next biggest concentration but these leases have shorter average terms than office or retail as well as fewer opportunities for rolling to higher market rates. Credit risks can be masked when individual tenants are pooled to higher categories, but nuances exist nonetheless, such as the slightly elevated risks associated with industrial and retail mall tenants.

US REAL ESTATE INCOME RISK* Q4 2014

		CONCENTRATION	TERM	MARKET	CREDIT		
		CONTRACTED RENT	REMAINING LEASE TERM	% GAIN / LOSS TO LEASES	RISK SCORE		
		% of national market	years (weighted)	contract rent vs market rate	LOW MEDIUM HIGH		
	US ALL PROPERTY	100.0%	5.1	7.9%	•		
	2014	3.8%		22.1%			
≿	2015	11.1%	<u> </u>	2.9%	•		
EXPIRY	2016	11.8%	<u> </u>	2.7%			
Ш.	2017	12.6%	<u> </u>	5.9%			
BY	2018	12.1%	_	3.6%			
	2019 & beyond	48.6%	_	11.1%			
	LA / OC / Riverside	12.7%	4.5	2.4%	•		
	Washington DC	11.0%	5.7	2.2%	•		
EA	SF Bay Area	11.0%	4.7	19.2%			
AR	NY / NNJ / LI	9.1%	6.0	26.3%	•		
Z	Boston	6.0%	5.5	11.5%			
11/	Houston	5.3%	5.8	3.8%			
0	Chicago	4.7%	6.6	12.1%			
BY METROPOLITAN AREA	Seattle	4.5%	5.7	5.7%			
Ë	South Florida	4.5%	4.0	5.0%			
Ξ	Dallas / Ft. Worth	4.4%	5.5	-9.1%			
BΥ	Denver	2.3%	6.4	17.4%			
	San Diego	2.2%	2.9	-1.9%			
	Atlanta	2.0%	5.1	2.6%	•		
~ #	CBD Office	36.3%	5.7	12.6%			
TOR OFF	Suburban Office	21.5%	4.5	5.4%			
EC.	Warehouse	18.3%	4.1	3.8%			
'Y SE IND	Flex/R&D	2.5%	2.7	-3.5%			
BY PROPERTY SECTOR RET IND OF	Other Industrial	0.2%	7.0	12.1%			
DPE	Community/Neighborhood	11.9%	6.2	5.5%			
<pre> PRC RET</pre>	Super/Regional Malls	3.0%	4.5	2.5%	•		
RE	Other Retail	3.0%	5.4	19.5%			
	Power Centers	2.9%	5.8	5.6%			

Sources: MSCI's IPD Rental Information Service, or 'IRIS' (tenancy-related risk metrics); and Dun & Bradstreet (credit risk)

*based on IPD databases of institutional property holdings

US COMMERCIAL PROPERTY PERFORMANCE & RISK Q4 2014

		HOUSTON REAL	ESTAT		SK C	24 2014		
			CONCENTRATION RISK					
			US		H	IOUSTON		
				TRACTED RENT national market		TRACTED RENT		
		ALL PROPERTY TOTAL	100.0%		100.0%			
		2014	3.8%		2.4%	1		
BY EXPIRY		2015	11.1%		4.4%	<u>.</u>		
БЧ		2016 2017	11.8% 12.6%		7.8% 9.6%	-		
B		2017	12.0%		7.7%	-		
		2019 & beyond	48.6%		68.1%			
æ	OFF	CBD Office	36.3%		59.2%			
ē	ō	Suburban Office	21.5%		27.4%			
С С		Warehouse	18.3%		7.8%	•		
2	IND	Flex/R&D	2.5%		—			
ER	_	Other Industrial	0.2%		_			
Р		Community/Neighborhood	11.9%		5.7%	•		
BY PROPERTY SECTOR	RET	Super/Regional Malls	3.0%		_			
ΒY	Œ	Other Retail	3.0%		-			
		Power Centers	2.9%		—			

HOUSTON REAL ESTATE INCOME RISK* 04 2014

		TERM RISK					
		US	HOUSTON				
		REMAINING LEASE TERM years (weighted)	REMAINING LEASE TERM years (weighted)				
	ALL PROPERTY TOTAL	5.1	5.8				
	2014	_	-				
вү ехрікү	2015	—	—				
-	2016	_	—				
с С	2017	_	-				
ñ	2018	—	-				
	2019 & beyond	—	—				
OFF	CBD Office	5.7	5.7				
ōō	Suburban Office	4.5	6.2				
	Warehouse	4.1	3.9				
	Flex/R&D	2.7	-				
2	Other Industrial	7.0	—				
	Community/Neighborhood	6.2	7.3				
RET	Super/Regional Malls	4.5	—				
RET IND OF	Other Retail	5.4	—				
•	Power Centers	5.8	—				

Sources: MSCI's IPD Rental Information Service, or 'IRIS' (tenancy-related risk metrics); and Dun & Bradstreet (credit risk)

*based on IPD databases of institutional property holdings

HOUSTON CONCENTRATION RISK—When the futures price of WTI crude oil tumbled from \$91/bbl to \$53 during the course of Q4 2014, many property investors were left wondering, what about Houston? Those investors with long memories can still recall the twin shocks of oversupply and dissipating demand that swept the local real estate market in the late 1980s as oil prices collapsed. An immediate concern this time around is that institutional investors are very heavily concentrated in the Houston office sector—about 87% of contracted rent lies in this sector alone, with more than two-thirds of it clustered in the few dozen blocks just south of Buffalo Bayou that make up the CBD. But within this concentration rests one potential upside: nearly 70% of the institutional market is locked up in long-term leases which may yet outride the temporary downturn in the energy market.

■ HOUSTON TERM RISK—The average remaining lease term in the US institutional property market is 5.1 years. Houston is closer to 6.0, and this is especially true in the heavily concentrated office sector where the average remaining lease term is 5.7 years in the CBD and 6.2 years in the suburban market. Among other sectors of the Houston market, community and neighborhood shopping centers show longer expected lease terms than the US average, but for warehouses the average remaining lease term trails the US average by a small margin.

■ HOUSTON MARKET RISK (next page)—Alhough twothirds of institutional leases in Houston are locked up past 2018, sooner or later those contracted leases will expire. And to what? Houston has not experienced the same degree of market rent increases as a New York or a San Francisco has in this cycle. In fact, the 4-5% of leases due to roll in 2015 are currently overrented. Renewing these expiring leases at market rates will pull contracted rents down by nearly 3%. While 2015 expirations will be a small portion of the contracted institutional market, the two-thirds coming due in 2019 or beyond are currently expected to see a slim gain to market of just 3.4%, and this is less than one-third of the overall 11.1% US gain to market expected for longrun expirations.

US COMMERCIAL PROPERTY PERFORMANCE & RISK Q4 2014

HOUSTON REAL ESTATE INCOME RISK* Q4 2014

		MARKET RISK					
		US	5	HOUSTON			
		% GAIN / LOSS TO LEASES contract rent vs market rate		% GAIN / LOSS TO LEASE contract rent vs market rate			
	ALL PROPERTY TOTAL	7.9%		3.8%	H		
	2014	22.1%		24.2%			
≿	2015	2.9%		-2.9%			
BY EXPIRY	2016	2.7%		4.8%			
۵.	2017	5.9%		2.1%	1		
B	2018	3.6%		5.8%			
	2019 & beyond	11.1%		3.4%			
OR	CBD Office	12.6%		3.3%			
<u>o</u> o	Suburban Office	5.4%		11.1%			
EC.	Warehouse	3.8%		-2.4%	0		
	Flex/R&D	-3.5%		-			
L L	Other Industrial	12.1%		—			
B	Community/Neighborhood	5.5%		-17.2%			
' PRC Ret	Super/Regional Malls	2.5%	1	-			
BY PROPERTY SECTOR RFT IND OF	Other Retail	19.5%		-			
	Power Centers	5.6%		—			

HOUSTON CREDIT RISK—Credit scores are assigned at the firm level, but inherent company or industry level risks can roll up to the asset or fund level depending on specific lease-up or investment strategies. Weighted credit risks can appear more diluted at a national or metropolitan level, so any geographic analysis requires a close look at the nuances. In Houston, the immediate concern is the credit health of energy industry tenants which pose a potential ripple effect in the local market. A number of energy tenants are likely to be found in CBD offices, but these leases in fact carry slightly lower weighted average risk scores than do other property types in Houston. A prolonged period of depressed oil prices would inevitably lead to downgraded credit scores for some energy tenants. For now, however, institutional owners hold a degree of security by having most of their Houston leases to these companies at least 4 years away from expiration, thus allowing some time for oil prices to rebound.

		CREDIT RISK						
		US			HOUSTON			
		RI	SK SCORE		RISK SCORE			
		LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	LOW MEDIUM HIGH			
	ALL PROPERTY TOTAL	•						
	2014				•			
≿	2015							
2015 2016 2017 2017 2018		•			•			
Ľ	2017	•						
2018 2019 & beyond		•			•			
		•						
OFF	CBD Office	•			•			
δÖ	Suburban Office	•						
RET IND OF	Warehouse							
	Flex/R&D							
Y	Other Industrial							
JYE	Community/Neighborhood	•						
RET	Super/Regional Malls							
	Other Retail							
	Power Centers	•						

Sources: MSCI's IPD Rental Information Service, or 'IRIS' (tenancy-related risk metrics); and Dun & Bradstreet (credit risk)

*based on IPD databases of institutional property holdings

About MSCI

For more than 40 years, MSCI's research-based indexes and analytics have helped the world's leading investors build and manage better portfolios. Clients rely on our offerings for deeper insights into the drivers of performance and risk in their portfolios, broad asset class coverage and innovative research. Our line of products and services includes indexes, analytical models, data, real estate benchmarks and ESG research. MSCI serves 98 of the top 100 largest money managers, according to the most recent P&I ranking.

Author's Bio



Max Arkey works in product management at MSCI Real Estate where he heads up indexes and market information products. These analytics are mission critical to the investment process for 19 of the top 20 largest global asset managers, all the way through to specialized domestic investors.

For further details contact: max.arkey@msci.com

©2015 MSCI Inc. All rights reserved